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#### Abstract

The elements of the inverse of a bidiagonal matrix have been expressed in a convenient form. The higher negative integral powers of the bidiagonal matrix exhibit an interesting property: the $(i j)$ th element of the $(-m)$ th power is equal to the product of the corresponding element of the inverse by a Wronski polynomial, viz., the complete symmetric function of degree $(m-1)$ of the diagonal elements, $d_{i}, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_{j}$, of the inverse matrix.


1. Introduction. Positive integral powers of a bidiagonal matrix with a fixed diagonal element $b$ and superdiagonal element 1 , have been reported by Varga [1]. In the present note, we shall find the negative integral powers of a general $n \times n$ bidiagonal matrix $B$, having diagonal elements $b_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and superdiagonal elements $c_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.

One may express $B=(I-\Gamma) D^{-1}$, where $I$ is the identity matrix and $D^{-1}$ a diagonal matrix composed of the diagonal elements of $B$. $\Gamma$ is null, except for the elements $\Gamma_{i+1}=-c_{i} / b_{i+1}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, on its first superdiagonal. The powers of $\Gamma$ can easily be evaluated. In fact, the nonzero elements of $\Gamma^{m}$ are given by

$$
\left(\Gamma^{m}\right)_{i+m}=\prod_{k=i}^{i+m-1}\left(-c_{k} / b_{k+1}\right), \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n-m
$$

occurring only on the $m$ th superdiagonal.
The inverse $E_{1}$ of $B$ may be calculated either by the usual method of cofactors, or from the following expansions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} & =B^{-1}=D[I-\Gamma]^{-1} \\
& =D\left[I+\Gamma+\Gamma^{2}+\Gamma^{3}+\cdots+\Gamma^{n-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The elements of $E_{1}$ may be written in a convenient form as:

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{1}(i, j) & =0 & & \text { for } i>j  \tag{1a}\\
& =1 / b_{j}=d_{j} & & \text { for } i=j  \tag{lb}\\
& =d_{i} \prod_{k=i}^{j-1}\left(-c_{k} / b_{k+1}\right) & & \text { for } i<j \tag{1c}
\end{align*}
$$

The inverse is upper triangular but is not necessarily bidiagonal.
2. Powers of the Inverse. The product of $E_{1}$ with itself is a matrix $E_{2}$, which is also upper triangular. Elements of $E_{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{2}(i, j) & =e_{1}(i, j) \sum_{k=i}^{j}\left[d_{k}\right] & & \text { for } i \leqq j,  \tag{2}\\
& =0 & & \text { for } i>j .
\end{align*}
$$
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Result (2) may be generalized. In fact, the $n$th power of $E_{1}$ is an upper triangular matrix $E_{n}$ where the $(i, j)$ th element, for $i \leqq j$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}(i, j)=e_{1}(i, j) \sum_{k_{1}=i}^{j} \sum_{k_{2}=i}^{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{3}=i}^{k_{2}} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-2}=i}^{k_{n-3}} \sum_{k_{n-1}=i}^{k_{n-2}}\left[d_{k_{1}} d_{k_{2}} d_{k_{3}} \cdots d_{k_{n-2}} d_{k_{n-1}}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us assume that result (3) is true for $n=m$.

$$
e_{m+1}(i, j)=\sum_{k_{0}=i}^{j}\left[e_{m}\left(i, k_{0}\right) e_{1}\left(k_{0}, j\right)\right],
$$

the other terms in the summation for $1 \leqq k_{0} \leqq i-1$ and $j+1 \leqq k_{0} \leqq n$, are zero, as both $e_{m}(p, q)$ and $e_{1}(p, q)$ are zero for $p>q$.

By writing the expression for $e_{m}\left(i, k_{0}\right)$ from result (3), which is assumed to be valid for $n=m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{m+1}(i, j)= & \sum_{k_{0}=i}^{j}\left[e_{1}\left(i, k_{0}\right) e_{1}\left(k_{0}, j\right)\right] \\
& \cdot \sum_{k_{1}=i}^{j} \sum_{k_{2}=i}^{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{3}=i}^{k_{2}} \cdots \sum_{k_{m-2}=i}^{k_{m-3}} \sum_{k_{m-1}=i}^{k_{m-2}}\left[d_{k_{1}} d_{k_{2}} d_{k_{3}} \cdots d_{k_{m-2}} d_{k_{m-1}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first summation is done by Eq. (2) and the expression reduces to

$$
e_{m+1}(i, j)=e_{1}(i, j) \sum_{k_{0}=i}^{j}\left[d_{k_{0}}\right] \cdot \sum_{k_{1}=i}^{j} \sum_{k_{2}=i}^{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{3}=i}^{k_{2}} \cdots \sum_{k_{m-2}=i}^{k_{m-3}} \sum_{k_{m-1}=i}^{k_{m-2}}\left[d_{k_{1}} d_{k_{2}} d_{k_{3}} \cdots d_{k_{m-2}} d_{k_{m-1}}\right] .
$$

After grouping the summations together, we find that the result is true for $n=m+1$.

It has already been found true for $n=2$ in Eq. (2), and therefore, by mathematical induction, we have the proof.
3. Thanks are due to the Director of this Institute for his kind permission to publish this paper.
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